

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General



STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
OPEN MEETING LAW TASK FORCE

1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

MEETING MINUTES
OPEN MEETING LAW TASK FORCE

The Teams meeting of the Open Meeting Law Task Force was called to order by Chief Deputy Attorney General Rosalie Bordelove at 10:02 a.m. on Thursday, May 28, 2026. Rosalie opened the discussion with welcoming members, and the purpose of this meeting is to discuss proposals 3B and 3C. The meeting started with public comment.

1. Roll Call/Members Present:

Rosalie Bordelove, Nevada Attorney General's Office
Chris Nielsen, Nevada System of Higher Education
Greg Ott, Chief Deputy Attorney General
Doug Ritchie, Douglas County DA's Office
Jacob Smith, American Civil Liberties Union
Nicholas Vaskov, Attorney, City of Henderson
Nicole Malich, Clark County District Attorney's Office
Benjamin Lipman, Las Vegas Review Journal, Inc.
Tyler Shaw, City of Reno
Sarah Bradley, Board of Medical Examiners
Neil Rombardo, Rombardo Legal Services LLC
Nathan Orme, Nevada Department of Human Services
Daniel Yu, Carson City District Attorney's Office
Molly Burkhardt, Nevada State Public Charter School Authority
Ryan Russell, Allison McKenzie Law Firm
Aakilah McCoy, AG-Intern

2. Public Comment:

No public comment.

3. Consideration of Potential Recommended Statutory Changes to NRS 241

a. NRS 241.035(3) regarding use of AI notetakers

Rosalie Bordelove started with previous discussion regarding AI note takers and the proposal has been revised to include language clarifying that the provision does not limit a public body's authority to remove a person pursuant to NRS 241.030(4).

Rosalie Bordelove also explained that the proposed language would extend similarly treatment to AI-assisted note taking technology and other recording methods.

Tyler Shaw made a motion to approve, and Sarah Bradley seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

c. NRS 241.015, 241.016 and/or 241.020 regarding the OML's application to certain advisory bodies

Items 3(b) and 3(c) were taken together. Doug Ritchie presented proposed language on what substitutes a subcommittee and clarifying that at least one member of the subcommittee or a working group is a member of the public body that appointed the subcommittee and/or make a recommendation to the public body to take action.

Rosalie Bordelove explained that the revised proposal was presented as a compromise intended to reduce administrative burdens while preserving transparency and public access to the decision-making process.

Sarah Bradley supports the revised language compared to prior versions.

Daniel Yu expressed concern with language that would subject a subcommittee to Open Meeting Law requirements whenever at least one member of a public body participates.

Nicholas Vaskov indicated that he was neutral regarding the revised proposal, noting that his earlier concerns had been addressed and that the changes would have minimal impact on his organization.

Doug Ritchie suggested additional revisions, including removing language related to recommendations made by subcommittees and instead limiting Open Meeting Law.

Members discussed other approaches including changing one member to two members of a public body and revising language concerning delegated authority.

Doug Ritchie made a motion to move forward with the proposed recommendation to narrow the subcommittee definition without subsection E(2)(B) and Rosalie Bordelove seconded that motion. Members discussed additional concerns and the motion was withdrawn. Item 3(c) was tabled for further discussion at the next meeting.

b. NRS 241.035 regarding substituting audio/video recording for minutes for certain advisory bodies

Rosalie Bordelove discussed the proposal that would permit qualifying advisory public bodies that are not authorized to take final action and do not include elected officials as members to satisfy certain recordkeeping requirements through alternative means, including recordings and written action summaries.

Nicholas Vaskov made a motion to approve the language and Sarah Bradley seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

d. NRS 241.033 regarding notice requirements to individuals

Members discussed provisions involving certified mail and electronic service requirements before a public body can discuss a person's character. Ryan Russel moved to approve the language and Nick Vaskov seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

e. NRS 241.039 regarding confidentiality of Attorney General's investigative records

Rosalie Bordelove presented proposed language regarding maintaining privilege and confidentiality of responses submitted to the Attorney General's Office during OML

investigations. Members discussed concerns with the first sentence but general support for the second part. Nicholas Vaskov made a motion to approve only the second part and Sarah Bradley seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

f. NRS 241.015 regarding the definition of “supporting material”

Rosalie Bordelove asked Daniel Yu if he would like to present Agenda Item 3F at the next meeting and Daniel agreed.

g. NRS 241.035(1) regarding contents and attachments to minutes

Doug Ritchie presented proposed amendments to NRS 241.035(1) intended to limit the volume of written materials attached to meeting records while preserving opportunities for public participation. The proposal also replaced the term "remarks" with "comments" for consistency throughout the statute.

Members discussed balancing public access with records retention concerns and expressed reservations about expanding written public comment requirements. Daniel Yu made an alternative suggestion to simply remove the requirement to attach to minutes. After additional discussion, Doug Ritchie made a motion to remove the attachment requirement and require bodies to include the substance of public comment in their minutes. Greg Ott seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

h. NRS 241.031 regarding closed sessions for public safety concerns

Rosalie Bordelove presented proposed amendments for closed meetings to discuss matters involving public safety when disclosure could pose risks to public health and welfare.

Members discussed the scope of the proposed confidentiality considerations associated with sensitive public safety information. Neil Rombardo made a motion to approve the language and Ryan Russel seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

1. Public Comment

No public comment.

2. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:39 a.m.

DRAFT